

Aurora Macro Strategies – Public Debt Report: YPF Case Update, July 19, 2024

The Tearsheet

- The Southern District Court of New York (SDNY) decided to reopen the briefing regarding the YPF turnover petition after Argentina introduced new arguments.

 Aug 2024 Decision about the turnover petition

 4Q24 Possible new IMF program
 3Q25 Argentine midterm elections
 4Q25 Court of Appeals decision
 4Q26 SCOTUS hearing the case
- Aurora previously <u>argued</u> that the SDNY is considering a turnover petition over YPF shares to maintain the stay on the judgment that awarded \$16.1BN compensation in favor of Petersen Energía and Eton Park based on the YPF Expropriation Case. This reopening could signal that Judge Preska is aware of the legal challenges facing the petition.
- This new briefing, known as a sur-reply, was centered on Argentina's immunities and the comity principle. Eventually, the Court could decide to request the favorable opinion of the U.S. Department of Justice, delaying the decision.
- Taking into consideration the legal and international challenges, we do not consider it likely that the SDNY will order the turnover of the shares at this stage. Instead, a plausible scenario would be the Court asking Argentina to provide another payment guarantee or, in an extreme case, lifting the stay of the \$16.1BN compensation judgment.
- Given the need for fresh dollars and the IMF's conditions, the Milei administration will likely adopt a cooperative stance in the U.S. courts.
- This position, likely to be supported by the U.S. Government, would increase the probability of maintaining the stay while the Second Circuit decides on the appeal filed against the compensation judgment.

1. The sur-reply arguments and the abandonment of the alter ego doctrine

- On June 26th, the SDNY allowed Argentina to file its sur-reply by June 28, 2024, with plaintiffs permitted to respond by July 8, 2024. Based on discretionary powers, that decision could signal that Judge Preska is aware of the legal challenges of the turnover of YPF's shares.
- On June 27th, Argentina argued that the plaintiffs introduced new arguments not previously addressed. These new arguments include interpretations of the Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act (FSIA), New York Civil Practice Law and Rules (CPLR), and the YPF Expropriation Law that must be corrected.
- The main argument is that Argentina's privilege as a foreign state under the FSIA and the comity principle prevents the Court from issuing any order that will require the modification of Argentina's Public Law. These immunities should also be applied during the attachment or execution stage.
- T To support these allegations, Argentina ratified that YPF's shares are not placed in the U.S. or used for commercial purposes in that country. Therefore, those shares do not fall under the direct jurisdiction of New York's civil procedural laws. Notably, the sur-reply did not mention the alter ego doctrine.
- In their sur-reply on July 8, the plaintiffs defended that the comity analysis does not apply in post-judgment execution and, therefore, the FSIA does not prevent the turnover. They also maintained that Argentine law does not prevent compliance with a turnover order and



that Argentine Congressional approval is not necessary. Finally, the plaintiffs reinforced that, under the FSIA, it is only necessary that the shares are used for commercial activities in the U.S. The alter ego doctrine was not invoked either.

The sur-reply briefing shows, as Aurora concluded, that the Court does not have to rule on the alter ego doctrine to decide about the turnover petition. Without the distractions of that doctrine, the Court now faces the case as plainly as it is: does the Court have jurisdiction to order the turnover of the shares of YPF to maintain the stay over the \$16.1 billion compensation judgment. while the appeal is pending before the Second Circuit?

2. After the sur-reply, will the Court order the turnover?

- The sur-reply arguments reinforce our previous conclusion, according to which plaintiffs face a high bar to obtain and execute a turnover of the shares. Argentina's narrow interpretation of execution immunities under the FSIA strengthens our original point about the critical difference with the PDVSA case, given that YPF does not operate businesses in the U.S. Besides, it is unclear if the Court has jurisdiction over shares not registered in the U.S.
- The comity principle argument should be analyzed from two perspectives. On the one hand, it is unclear that the principle does not apply during the execution stage, because its fundamental objective is the protection of foreign relations, which also applies to the execution stage. On the other hand, when the comity principle is at stake, the SDNY usually requests the opinion of the U.S. Department of Justice, mainly based on the <u>Allied Bank</u> case. Hence, a possible outcome is Judge Preska requesting the U.S. Government's opinion to determine if Argentina's immunities and domestic law are consistent with U.S. policies.
- The turnover petition could conflict with the foreign relations between the U.S. and Argentina and, particularly, undermine Argentina's ability to advance in a cooperative relationship with the IMF. Therefore, if the SDNY decides international comity is a relevant argument, the natural consequence will be to request the opinion of the U.S. Government.
- Eventually, Argentina could ask the U.S. to file a statement of interest to support the maintenance of the stay while the country advances in its major economic reforms. To increase the likelihood of maintaining the stay, Argentina could adopt a cooperative approach with the Court rather than a confrontational one.
- These foreign relations implications demonstrate that the turnover petition has the highest cost among the many options to maintain the stay. Notably, this motion is not related to the enforcement of a final judgment but to an incident associated with the stay of the \$16.1 billion compensation judgment.
- Hence, the SDNY could avoid the legal and international challenges of the turnover by asking Argentina to supply other ideas about how to ensure the payment of the judgment (for instance, the receivables generated from the Yacyretá project, as Judge Preska had previously suggested).
- Lifting the stay seems a less conflictive decision compared with the turnover of the shares. However, Argentina could use the support of the IMF and the U.S. Government to justify the maintenance of the stay, at least for a short period (i.e., six months). An example could be the favorable position of the SDNY staying the complaint filed by Sri Lanka 's creditor to support the country's collaborative efforts to restructure its debt.



- Consequently, we do not currently expect the SDNY to order the turnover of the shares. On the contrary, the Court could maintain the temporary stay while exploring other less costly actions.
- Argentina's position will influence the outcome: a defiant position will increase the risks of unconventional measures, while a collaborative approach could pave the way to maintain the stay. If the U.S. Government's statement of opinion is requested, the case will be delayed until that opinion is filed, usually in two months. Otherwise, we could expect a decision in August.

3. What to expect regarding the appeal process

- While the turnover decision is pending, the appellate process continues progressing slowly.
- On June 24th, Argentina filed its response-and-reply brief, arguing that the district court made significant legal errors and misapplied Argentine law. Specifically, it cited Articles 1204 of the Civil Code and 216 of the Commercial Code of Argentina, arguing that these mandatory articles require the plaintiffs to seek specific contract performance before claiming damages.
- The appeal before the Second Circuit will take months to decide, after which the parties will likely appeal to the U.S. Supreme Court.
- Regarding the potential decision by the Court of Appeals, which we expect within a year, we believe it will confirm contractual breaches following Argentina's 2012 takeover of YPF, holding Argentina responsible.
- As for the quantum of the award, the Court of Appeals is unlikely to delve into details. However, if the Second Circuit finds any ground to overturn the compensation, it will likely return the case to the SDNY.
- Because the appeal will not be expedited, Argentina's main interest is to maintain the stay.

4. IMF's demands shape Milei's cooperative stance with Preska

- The recent approval of the Bases Law Project and the Fiscal Package represents a major victory for President Javier Milei's administration, highlighting their ability to navigate the political system despite a minority in Congress.
- This success ushers in a new phase focused on easing capital controls and increasing net international reserves, which the market eagerly demands and expects from the government.
- The administration's approach to these financial reforms is crucial, especially in the context of significant debt maturities totaling more than USD 8 billion due by the end of the year. Only a fraction of this can be refinanced through the World Bank, IADB, and CAF disbursements.
- Without access to international financial markets, the administration will seek fresh dollars through a new agreement with the IMF, a task that Economy Minister Luis Caputo is dedicated to and hopes to finalize by the end of 2024.
- The IMF has emphasized the need to deepen the reform process, which will aid in gradually easing capital controls. The IMF has also requested a continued engagement to resolve the ongoing sovereign debt litigation cases over time.



- Given this need for fresh dollars and the IMF's conditions, the Milei administration will likely adopt a cooperative stance in the U.S. Judiciary. This cooperative behavior is essential for maintaining a positive relationship with creditors and international financial institutions.
- While the IMF is Argentina's largest creditor, with around USD 45BN owed, the second-largest creditor is court-ordered awards, with nearly USD 20BN owed.
- The YPF case accounts for over three-quarters of this debt, with an enforcement risk of USD 16.1BN. Most of these asset seizures are expected to occur during the Milei administration, making it critical for the administration to manage this situation effectively.
- The need for fresh dollars and the potential IMF agreement explain why the Milei administration could cooperate with the U.S. judiciary, avoiding an open confrontation.



Disclaimer

This newsletter is a general communication being provided for informational and educational purposes only. It is not designed to be a recommendation for any specific investment product, strategy, plan feature or other purposes. By receiving this communication, you agree with the intended purpose described above. Any examples used in this material are generic, hypothetical and for illustration purposes only. Opinions and statements of financial market trends that are based on current market conditions constitute our judgment and are subject to change without notice. We believe the information provided here is reliable but should not be assumed to be accurate or complete. The views and strategies described may not be suitable for all investors.

None of Aurora Macro Strategies, LLC, its affiliates, or representatives is suggesting that the recipient or any other person take a specific course of action or any action at all. Prior to making any investment or financial decisions, an investor should seek individualized advice from personal financial, legal, tax and other professionals that consider all of the particular facts and circumstances of an investor's own situation. Neither Aurora Macro Strategies or any third party involved in or related to the computing or compiling of the data makes any express or implied warranties, representations or guarantees concerning information or perspectives included in written research. In no event will Aurora Macro Strategies or any third party have any liability for any direct, indirect, special, punitive, consequential or any other damages (including lost profits) relating to any use of this information.

This report has been created without regard to the specific investment objectives, financial situation, or particular needs of any specific recipient and is not to be construed as a solicitation or an offer to buy or sell any securities or related financial instruments. Past performance is not necessarily a guide to future results. Company fundamentals and earnings may be mentioned occasionally but should not be construed as a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold the company's stock. Predictions, forecasts, and estimates for any and all markets should not be construed as recommendations to buy, sell, or hold any security--including mutual funds, futures contracts, and exchange traded funds, or any similar instruments.

The text, images, and other materials contained or displayed on any Aurora Macro Strategies, LLC product, service, report, email, or website are proprietary to Aurora Macro Strategies, LLC and constitute valuable intellectual property. No material from any part of www.auroramacro.com may be downloaded, transmitted, broadcast, transferred, assigned, reproduced or in any other way used or otherwise disseminated in any form to any person or entity, without the explicit written consent of Aurora Macro Strategies, LLC. All unauthorized reproduction or other use of material from Aurora Macro Strategies, LLC shall be deemed willful infringement(s) of this copyright and other proprietary and intellectual property rights, including but not limited to, rights of privacy. Aurora Macro Strategies, LLC expressly reserves all rights in connection with its intellectual property, including without limitation the right to block the transfer of its products and services and/or to track usage thereof, through electronic tracking technology, and all other lawful means, now known or hereafter devised. Aurora Macro Strategies, LLC reserves the right, without further notice, to pursue to the fullest extent allowed by the law any and all criminal and civil remedies for the violation of its rights.

The recipient should check any email and any attachments for the presence of viruses. Aurora Macro Strategies, LLC accepts no liability for any damage caused by any virus transmitted by this company's electronic communications.